arXiv:0802.0385v2 [math.PR] 16 Mar 2008

ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY AND SINGULARITY OF TWO PROBABILITY MEASURES ON A FILTERED SPACE

S.S. GABRIYELYAN*

Abstract

Let μ and ν be fixed probability measures on a filtered space $(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in \mathbf{R}^+}, \mathcal{F})$. Denote by μ_T and ν_T (respectively, μ_{T-} and ν_{T-}) the restrictions of measures μ and ν on $\mathcal{F}_T$ (respectively, on $\mathcal{F}_{T-}$) for a stopping time T. We can find a Hahn-decomposition of μ_T and ν_T using a Hahn-decomposition of measures μ, ν, and a Hellinger process h_t in the strict sense of order $\frac{1}{2}$. The norm of the absolutely continuity component of μ_{T-} relative to ν_{T-} in terms of density processes and Hellinger integrals is computed.

Introduction. The question of absolute continuity or singularity of two probability measures has been investigated a long time ago, both for its theoretical interest and for its applications to mathematical statistics, financial mathematics, ergodic theory and others. S.Kakutani in 1948 [8], was the first to solve this problem in the case of two measures having an infinite product form. Yu.M.Kabanov, R.Sh.Liptser, A.N.Shiryaev [6] and [7](see also [10], §6,ch. 7) generalized this result for measures on the σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ which is generated by an increasing sequence of σ-algebras $\mathcal{B}_n$ (under the condition of their local absolute continuity). A.R.Darwich [3] extended theorem 4 of Yu.M.Kabanov et al. [6]. Let μ and ν be fixed probability measures on a filtered space $(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in \mathbf{R}^+}, \mathcal{F})$ with a right continuous filtration and $\mathcal{F} = \vee_t \mathcal{F}_t$. Let μ_{T-} and ν_{T-} be the restrictions of the measures μ and ν on $\mathcal{F}_{T-}$ for a stopping time T. Denote by

---

*The author was partially supported by Israel Ministry of Immigrant Absorption and ISF grant 888/07

Key words and phrases. Density processes, Hellinger integrals, Hellinger processes, Hahn-decomposition, stopping times, absolutely continuity and singularity.

μ_T and ν_T the restrictions of μ and ν on $\mathcal{F}_T$. The following question, which has been considered by several authors, is the main theme of the chapter IV of the book [5]:

Problem 1. *Under which conditions can we assert that $\mu_T \ll \nu_T$ or $\mu_T \perp \nu_T$?*

This problem can be attacked through the "Hellinger integrals" and the "Hellinger processes". However, a situation may naturally occur, where the two measures are neither (locally) absolutely continuous nor singular. Schachermayer W. and Schachinger W. [9] have raised the more general question:

Problem 2. *Can we find a Hahn-decomposition of μ_T and ν_T?*

In [5] and [9] the authors have looked for the answers to these questions using the values of the Hellinger processes of different orders at time T (i.e. in "predictable" terms).

Let us denote $\mathrm{Q} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu + \nu)$, z and z' the density processes of μ and ν relative to Q. Let $S_n = \inf(t: \ z_t < \frac{1}{n} \text{ or } z'_t < \frac{1}{n})$. The stopping time S is the first moment when either z or z' vanishes,

$$S = \inf(t: \ z_t = 0 \text{ or } z'_t = 0).$$

The process $Y(\alpha) = z^\alpha z'^{1-\alpha}$, where $\alpha \in (0;1)$ (if $\alpha = 0.5$ we shall write $Y_t = \sqrt{z_t z'_t}$) is a Q-supermartingale of the class (D). Let $Y = M - A$ be the Doob-Meyer decomposition of Y and let h_t denote the Hellinger process of order $\frac{1}{2}$ in the strict sense. Then h_t and A_t are connected as follows, see [5], IV.1.18,

$$A = Y_- \bullet h \, , \quad h = \left(\frac{1}{Y_-} 1_{\Gamma''} \right) \bullet A \, . \tag{1}$$

The Hellinger process $h(0)$ of order 0 is defined as the Q-compensator of the process (see [5], IV.1.53, where $0/0 = 0$)

$$A^0 = \frac{z_S}{z_{S-}} 1_{\{0<S<\infty, \ z'_S = 0 < z'_{S-}\}} 1_{[S,\infty[} . \tag{2}$$

A stopping time T is called a *stopping time of a process* X if: 1) $X = X^T$, 2) if $X = X^U$, then $T \leqslant U$. It is easy to see that for any right continuous process there exists its stopping time. Importance of this notion for problem 2 is demonstrated in theorem 2

Let X be a process and T be a stopping time. Taking into account the evident physical interpretation: the process $X^{T-} = X 1_{[0;T[}$ be called *the process X interrupted at the moment T*.

A decomposition $\Omega = E \sqcup E^c$, where $E^c = \Omega \backslash E$, is called a Hahn-decomposition of measures μ and ν if: 1) $\mu \sim \nu$ on the set E; 2) $\mu \perp \nu$ on the set E^c.

It is clear that the stopping time S plays an important role. It is easy to give a simple answer to problem 2 if we know S and the set $B = \{0 < Y_\infty < 2\}$ on which $\mu \sim \nu$. A.S.Cherny and M.A.Urusov [1] added a point δ to $[0;\infty]$ in such a way that $\delta > \infty$ and considered the *separating time* $\widetilde{S}$ for μ and ν:

$$\widetilde{S}(\omega) = S(\omega) \text{ if } \omega \in B^c \text{ and } \widetilde{S}(\omega) = \delta \text{ if } \omega \in B.$$

The following theorem is proved in [1].

Theorem. *For any stopping time T we have*

$$\mu_T \sim \nu_T \textit{ on the set } \{T < \widetilde{S}\} \text{ and } \mu_T \perp \nu_T \textit{ on the set } \{T \geq \widetilde{S}\}.$$

In [2] the authors computed of $\widetilde{S}$ in many important cases.

However, if we know only S and the process h, the answer to problem 2 is the following.

Theorem 1. *Let*

$$E \ = (\{T < S\} \cup \{T = S,\ T = \infty\}) \cap \{h_T < \infty\}$$

$$E^c = (\{S < T\} \cup \{S \leqslant T,\ T < \infty\}) \cup \{h_T = \infty\}.$$

Then $\mu_T \sim \nu_T$ on the set E and $\mu_T \perp \nu_T$ on the set E^c.
In particular, if $\mu \overset{loc}{\ll} \nu$, then $S \equiv \infty$ and corollary IV.2.8 [5] follows from Theorem 1.

Theorem 1 leads us to deciding the next problem:

Problem 3. *Find the stopping time S.*
(Of course, using only "computable processes" as h in a concrete situation.) It is easy to do if we know h and a Hahn-decomposition of measures μ and ν.

Theorem 2. *Let H be the stopping time of h. Then*

1. *H coincides with the stopping times of processes A, M, Y, z and z'. Moreover*

$$H \leqslant S \quad \textit{and} \quad \{H < S\} = \{0 < z_H < 2\} \subset \{S = \infty\}. \tag{3}$$

2. *$\mu \sim \nu$ on the set $\{H < S\}$, and $S = H_{\{H=S\}}$.*

3. *$\{H = S\} = \{E[\{S = \infty\}|\mathcal{F}_H] = 0\} \cup \{H = \infty\}$.*

4. *If $B \cup B^c$ is a Hahn-decomposition of measures μ and ν, where $\mu \sim \nu$ on B, then*

$$S = H_{B^c}.$$

Equality (3) shows that in order to find S we must separate two sets

$$\{Y_H = 0 < Y_{H-}\ ,\ 0 < H < \infty\ \} \text{ and } \{Y_H > 0\ ,\ 0 < H < \infty\ \} \tag{4}$$

(since, by theorem 5 [9], the sets $\{Y_{H-} = 0, 0 < H\}$ and $\{h_H = \infty, 0 < H\}$ are coincide, the set $\{S = 0\}$ is defined by initial conditions and $\{H = 0\} = \{h = 0\}$).

We shall prove these theorems in section 1.

If $T = \infty$ then $\mathcal{F}_T = \mathcal{F}_{T-}$. Hence the following problem is interesting too.

Problem 4. *Find the norm of the absolutely continuous component of* μ_{T-} *relative to* ν_{T-}.

In section two we solve this problem (in terms of density processes and Hellinger integrals).

Let $M^+(\Omega)$ be the set of all nonnegative finite measures on Ω. A measure $\mu \in M^+(\Omega)$ is called probabilistic if $\mu(\Omega) = 1$. For $\mu, \nu \in M^+(\Omega)$ we write $\mu \ll \nu$ (respectively: $\mu \perp \nu$) if μ is absolutely continuous (singular) relative to ν. Mutual absolute continuity (equivalence) μ and ν we denote by $\mu \sim \nu$. If $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$, with $\mu_1 \perp \mu_2$, then μ_1 and μ_2 are called parts of μ.

Let $\mu, \nu \in M^+(\Omega)$. Then we can write them in the form

$$\mu = \mu^1 + \mu^2, \nu = \nu^1 + \nu^2, \text{ with } \mu^1 \sim \nu^1, \mu^2 \perp \nu, \nu^2 \perp \mu,$$

- the Lebesgue decomposition of the measures μ and ν relative to each other. We denote the derivation of μ relative to ν by $\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}$. Then

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\nu} = \frac{d\mu^1}{d\nu^1},\ \ \nu^1 - \text{a.e. };\quad \text{and}\quad \frac{d\mu}{d\nu} = 0,\ \ (\nu^2 + \mu^2) - \text{a.e.}$$

A measure μ is called locally absolutely continuous relative to a measure ν ($\mu \overset{loc}{\ll} \nu$), if $\mu_t \ll \nu_t\ ,\ \forall t$. The biggest (by norm) part α of μ (it exists by the Zorn Lemma) such that $\alpha \overset{loc}{\ll} \nu$ we denote by $\tilde{\mu}$ and call it the locally absolutely continuous part of μ relative to ν. The part $\tilde{\tilde{\mu}} = \mu - \tilde{\mu}$ of μ is called the asymptotic singular part of μ relative to ν. The fact $\tilde{\mu} = 0$ we shall write as $\mu \overset{as}{\perp} \nu$. (Justification of the title "asymptotic singular part" is contained in lemma 4.)

Let $\alpha \in (0; 1)$. The number $H(\alpha; \mu, \nu) = \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{P}}[Y(\alpha)]$, where $\mathrm{Q} \ll \mathrm{P}$, is called the Hellinger integral of the order α.

In the following theorem we give the solution of problem 4. We note that for this theorem it is enough to know only the density processes z^{T-} and z'^{T-} interrupted at the moment T; z_0, z'_0 and the system $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathcal{F}_0 \text{ and } A \cap \{t < T\}, A \in \mathcal{F}_t\}$ that generate $\mathcal{F}_{T-}$.

Theorem 3. *Let probability measures* μ, ν *and* P *on* $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t))$ *be such that* $\mu \overset{loc}{\ll} \mathrm{P}, \nu \overset{loc}{\ll} \mathrm{P}$. *Let* z *and* z' *be the density processes of* μ *and* ν *relative to* P *respectively. Then* *for every stopping time T the following is true*

$$\|(\mu_{T-})_a\| = \underline{\lim}_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ \alpha\to 1-0}} \left\{ \int z_0^{\alpha} {z'}_0^{1-\alpha} 1_{\{T=0\}} d\mathrm{P}_0 + \int z_n^{\alpha} {z'}_n^{1-\alpha} 1_{\{n<T\}} d\mathrm{P}_n + \right.$$

$$\left. \sum_{k=1}^{n2^n} \int \left[\mathbf{E}\left[z_{\frac{k}{2^n}} 1_{\left\{\frac{k-1}{2^n}<T\le\frac{k}{2^n}\right\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}}\right]\right]^{\alpha} \left[\mathbf{E}\left[z'_{\frac{k}{2^n}} 1_{\left\{\frac{k-1}{2^n}<T\le\frac{k}{2^n}\right\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}}\right]\right]^{1-\alpha} d\mathrm{P}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}} \right\}, \qquad (5)$$

where $(\mu_{T-})_a$ is the absolutely continuous part μ_{T-} relative to ν_{T-}.

It is interesting that for a predictable stopping time we can compute both the norm and the density of the absolutely continuous part of μ_{T-} relative to ν_{T-}.

Theorem 4. *Let μ and ν be two probability measures on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t))$. Let T is predictable and a sequence $\{V_n\}$ is an announcing sequence for T. Then*

$$\|(\mu_{T-})_a\| = \underline{\lim}_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ \alpha\to 1-0}} H(\alpha;\ \mu_{V_n},\ \nu_{V_n}) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d(\mu_{T-})_a}{d\nu_{T-}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{d(\mu_{V_n})_a}{d\nu_{V_n}}, \quad \nu_{T-} - a.e.,$$

where $(\mu_{T-})_a$ is the absolutely continuous part of μ_{T-} relative to ν_{T-}.

Our proof of theorem 3 is in three steps:

1) We shall prove theorem 3 for the case when $T \equiv \infty$ and the time-set is $\mathbb{N}$.

As a consequence, the Kakutani theorem will be proved.

2) We shall compute $\mathbf{E}[M_T|\mathcal{F}_{T-}]$, where (M_t) is a martingale of the class (D).

It is well known that, if T is predictable and a sequence $\{V_n\}$ is an announcing sequence for T, then the following equality is true: $\mathbf{E}[z_T|\mathcal{F}_{T-}] = z_{T-}$. This equality is not true in the general case. Our result give us a simple explanation (on example [4], ch. V, example 44) of the well known fact that z_{T-}, generally speaking, is not integrable.

3) The general case will be solved.

I. Hahn-decomposition of measures μ_T and ν_T

In the sequel, all the equalities and the inclusions of sets are considered up to Q-null subsets.

Proof of theorem 1. By lemma IV.2.16 [5], we have $\{T < S\} \cap \{h_T < \infty\} = \{T < S\}$. By definition of S, $z_T \cdot z'_T > 0$ on the set $\{T < S\}$. Hence $\mu_T \sim \mathrm{Q}_T \sim \nu_T$ on the set $\{T < S\}$.

By theorem 5 [9], $z_T = z_\infty > 0$ and $z'_T = z'_\infty > 0$ on the set $E_1 := \{T = S,\ T = \infty\} \cap \{h_T < \infty\}$. Thus we have $\mu_T \sim \mathrm{Q}_T \sim \nu_T$ on the set E_1.

Set $E_2 = \{S < T\} \cup \{S \leqslant T,\ T < \infty\}$. Then, by definition of S, we have $z_T \cdot z'_T = 0$. Therefore $E_2 \subset \{z_T = 0\} \cup \{z'_T = 0\}$. Since $\mu(\{z_T = 0\}) = \nu(\{z'_T = 0\}) = 0$, then $\mu_T \perp \nu_T$ on the set E_2.

By theorem 5 [9], we have $z_T \cdot z'_T = 0$ on the set $\{h_T = \infty\}$. Hence $\mu_T \perp \nu_T$ on this set. Theorem 1 is proved. $\square$

Proof of theorem 2. Let T_Y, T_M, T_z and $T_{z'}$ be the stopping times of processes Y, M, z and z' respectively. Since $z + z' = 2$, then $T_z = T_{z'}$ and $T_Y \leqslant T_z$. By uniqueness of the Doob-Meyer decomposition, we have

$$T_M \leqslant T_Y \text{ and } H \leqslant T_Y. \tag{6}$$

Let $\mu = \mu_a + \mu_s,\ \nu = \nu_a + \nu_s$, where $\mu_a \sim \nu_a,\ \mu_s \perp \nu,\ \mu \perp \nu_s$, be the Lebesgue decomposition of measures μ and ν. Then $z = z_a + z_s,\ z' = z'_a + z'_s$, where z_a, z_s, z'_a, z'_s are the density processes of corresponding measures relative to Q. Hence

$$Y = \sqrt{(z_a + z_s)(z'_a + z'_s)}\ , \quad Y_\infty = \sqrt{z_{a\infty} z'_{a\infty}}. \tag{7}$$

Let $H \leqslant T$. Since Y belongs to the class (D), then

$$\mathbf{E}[M_0] = \mathbf{E}[Y_T] + \mathbf{E}[A_T] = \mathbf{E}[Y_T] + \mathbf{E}[A_H] = \mathbf{E}[Y_H] + \mathbf{E}[A_H].$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{E}[Y_T] = \mathbf{E}[Y_H]. \tag{8}$$

Since Y is a supermartingale, then (8) yields

$$Y_U = \mathbf{E}[Y_T | \mathcal{F}_U]\ , \quad \forall\ H \leqslant U \leqslant T. \tag{9}$$

Let $T \equiv \infty$ and $U = H$. By (7) we can rewrite equality (9) in the form

$$\sqrt{(z_{aH} + z_{sH})(z'_{aH} + z'_{sH})} = \mathbf{E}[\sqrt{z_{a\infty} z'_{a\infty}} | \mathcal{F}_H] \leqslant \sqrt{z_{aH} z'_{aH}}. \tag{10}$$

Let $\mu_a \neq 0$. Then (10) yields (Q-a.s.)

$$z_{sH} \cdot z'_H = z_H \cdot z'_{sH} = 0 \quad \text{ and} \tag{11}$$

$$Y_{aU} = \mathbf{E}[Y_{aT} | \mathcal{F}_U]\ , \quad \forall\ H \leqslant U \leqslant T. \tag{12}$$

Let $Z = \frac{d\mu_a}{d\nu_a} = \frac{z_a}{z'_a}$ be the density process of measure μ_a relative to ν_a (we remind that 0/0 =0). Then Z is a ν_a-martingale of the class (D) and equality (12) equivalent to

$$\sqrt{Z}_U = \mathbf{E}_{\nu_a}[\sqrt{Z}_T | \mathcal{F}_U]\ , \quad \forall\ H \leqslant U \leqslant T.$$

Therefore $\sqrt{Z}$ and Z are ν_a-martingales starting from the moment H. This is possible only if (ν_a-a.s.)

$$Z = Z^H. \tag{13}$$

By (11) we have $z_a + z'_a = 2$ on the set $[H, \infty[$ ν_a-a.s. Hence (13) yields

$$Y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_H}} z_a \text{ on the set } [H, \infty[\quad (\nu_a - \text{a.s.}).$$

Therefore for all $t \geqslant H$ we have (ν_a-a.s.)

$$2 = (z_a)_t + (z'_a)_t = (z_a)_t \left(1 + \frac{1}{Z_H}\right)$$

and $(z_a)_t \in (0; 2)$ does not depend on t. By (11) the equality $\{0 < z_{aH} < 2\} = \{Y_H > 0\}$ holds Q-a.s. Hence

$$H = T_Y = T_z = T_{z'} \text{ on the set } \{2 > z_{aH} > 0\} = \{Y_H > 0\}.$$

If $\omega \in \{Y_H = 0\}$ then either $z_H = 0$ or $z'_H = 0$. Hence lemma III.3.6 [5] yields $H = T_Y = T_z = T_{z'}$. If $\mu_a = 0$, then (10) and (9) yield $Y = 0$ on the set $[H, \infty[$. Hence $T_Y \leq H$. Inequalities (6) yield $T_Y = H$ and it is evidently that $T_Y \leq S$. Therefore

$$H \leqslant S \quad \text{and} \quad \{H < S\} = \{0 < z_H < 2\} \subset \{S = \infty\}.$$

and (3) is proved.

It remains to prove that H is a stopping time of h. It follows from (1) and (3) that $h = h^H$. On the other hand, if $h = h^T$, than (1), (3) and lemma IV.2.16 [5] yield $A = A^T$ on the set $\{T < H\}$. Then, by definition of H, $\mathrm{Q}(\{T < H\}) = 0$. Hence there exists a stopping time of h and it is equal to H.

2. It is an evident consequence of item 1.

3. Set $L = \{\mathbf{E}[\{S = \infty\}|\mathcal{F}_H] = 0\} \in \mathcal{F}_H$. We shall show that $L \subset \{S < \infty\}$. Set $C = L \cap \{S = \infty\}$. Then

$$\mathbf{E}[1_C|\mathcal{F}_H] \leqslant \mathbf{E}[\{S = \infty\}|\mathcal{F}_H] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \{\mathbf{E}[1_C|\mathcal{F}_H] > 0\} \subset \Omega \setminus L,$$

$$\mathbf{E}[1_C|\mathcal{F}_H] \leqslant \mathbf{E}[1_L|\mathcal{F}_H] = 1_L \ \Rightarrow \{\mathbf{E}[1_C|\mathcal{F}_H] > 0\} \subset L.$$

It is possible only if $\mathrm{Q}(C) = 0$ and $L \subset \{S < \infty\}$. Therefore, it follows from (3) that $H = S$ on the set L and $\{H = S\} \supset \{H = \infty\}$. Hence

$$\{H = S\} \supset L \cup \{H = \infty\}.$$

Set $K = \{H = S\} \cap \{H < \infty\} \in \mathcal{F}_H$. We shall prove the inverse inclusion. To do this it is enough to prove that $K \subset L$. Since $K \subset \{S < \infty\}$, then $1_K \leqslant 1_{\{S<\infty\}}$. Thus

$$1_K = \mathbf{E}[1_K|\mathcal{F}_H] \leqslant \mathbf{E}[\{S < \infty\}|\mathcal{F}_H] = 1 - \mathbf{E}[\{S = \infty\}|\mathcal{F}_H].$$

This implies that $\mathbf{E}[\{S = \infty\}|\mathcal{F}_H] = 0$ whenever $\omega \in K$. Therefore $K \subset L$.

4. By theorem 1 ($T = \infty$) and the definition of H, we have

$$B^c = \{S < \infty\} \cup \{h_\infty = \infty\} = \{S < \infty\} \cup \{h_H = \infty\} =$$

$$\{S < \infty\} \cup \{H < \infty, h_H = \infty\} \cup \{H = \infty, h_H = \infty\}.$$

Theorem 5 [9] and (3) yield $\{S < \infty\} \cup \{H < \infty, h_H = \infty\} \subset \{H = S\}$. Thus (using $H \leq S$) $S = H_{B^c}$. Theorem 2 is proved. □

Remark 1. Set $S^0 = S_{\cup_n \{S_n = S\}}$. Definition IV.1.24 [5] and theorem 5 [9] give that any Hellinger process of order $\frac{1}{2}$ is equal to $h(\frac{1}{2}; \mu, \nu) = h + A' 1_{]S^0,\infty[}$, where A' is a predictable increasing process. Then the stopping time H' of the process $h' = h + t1_{]S^0,\infty[}$ is equal to $H_{\{H<S\}\cup\{h_H=\infty\}}$. This is the greatest stopping time of Hellinger processes of order $\frac{1}{2}$ when H is the smallest one. It is obviously that $S = H_{\{H<H'\}\cup\{h_H=\infty\}}$.

Theorem 2 shows the importance of the stopping time H of the process h. In conclusion of this section we show that the knowledge of the stopping time H_0 of the process $h(0)$ does not determine S.

Proposition 1. *Set* $N_0 = \{0 < S < \infty,\ z'_S = 0 < z'_{S-}\}$. *Then there exists the stopping time* H_0 *of* $h(0)$ *which equals*

$$H_0 = \inf\{W :\ W_{N_0} = S_{N_0}\ \}.$$

Proof. Let $W_0 = \inf\{W :\ W_{N_0} = S_{N_0}\ \}$. It is easy to prove that W_0 is a stopping time. Let us show that $h(0)^{W_0} = h(0)$. Let $W_0 \leqslant T$ and

$$W_n = \inf(t;\ h(0)_t \geqslant n) \wedge W_0\ ,\ T_n = (W_n)_{\{W_n < W_0\}} \wedge T \geq W_n.$$

Then $\{T_n > W_n\} = \{W_n = W_0\} \cap \{W_0 < T\}$. Thus (we take into consideration the behavior of A^0 and the equality $(W_0)_{N_0} = S_{N_0}$) $A^0_{W_n} = A^0_{T_n}$ holds for $\omega \in \{T_n > W_n\}$, and hence, it holds everywhere. Therefore, by theorem I.3.17 [5], we have

$$\mathbf{E}[h(0)_{W_n}] = \mathbf{E}[A^0_{W_n}] = \mathbf{E}[A^0_{T_n}] = \mathbf{E}[h(0)_{T_n}] < \infty.$$

Since $h(0)$ is the nondecreasing process, then $h(0)_{W_n} = h(0)_{T_n}$. In particular

$$h(0)_{W_0} = h(0)_T \text{ on the set } \{W_n = W_0\} \cap \{W_0 < T\}. \tag{14}$$

Since n is any integer and equality (14) is evidently true on the set $\{W_0 = T\}$, then

$$h(0)_{W_0} = h(0)_T \text{ on the set } \cup_n \{W_n = W_0\} = \{h(0)_{W_0} < \infty\}.$$

If $\omega \in \{h(0)_{W_0} = \infty\}$, then $h(0)_{W_0} = h(0)_T = \infty$ also. Thus $h(0)_{W_0} = h(0)_T$ Q-a.s.

It remains to prove the minimality of W_0. The proof is by reductio ad absurdum. Let there exist a stopping time T of $h(0)$ such that $T \leqslant W_0$ and $\mathrm{Q}(\{T < W_0\}) > 0$. Then $\mathrm{Q}(\{T < W_0\} \cap N_0) > 0$ by the construction of W_0. Hence we have

$$\mathrm{Q}(\{T \wedge S_n < W_0 \wedge S_n\} \cap N_0) > 0 \text{ for some } n.$$

Then, by theorem I.3.17 [5], we have

$$\mathbf{E}[h(0)_{T\wedge S_n}] = \mathbf{E}[A^0_{T\wedge S_n}] < \mathbf{E}[A^0_{W_0\wedge S_n}] = \mathbf{E}[h(0)_{W_0\wedge S_n}].$$

This contradicts to our choice of T. □

II. Calculation of the norm of the absolutely continuous part of μ_{T-} relative to ν_{T-}

1) The case when $T \equiv \infty$ and the time-set is $\mathbb{N}$.

For simplicity, we introduce the following notation

$$a_n(\alpha) = \int \left(\frac{d\mu_n}{d\nu_n}\right)^\alpha d\nu_n = H(\alpha;\mu_n,\nu_n)\,, \quad a(\alpha) = \lim_{n\to\infty} a_n(\alpha)\,, \quad b(\alpha) = \inf_{n\in\mathbf{N}}\{a_n(\alpha)\}.$$

The following theorem is main.

Theorem 5. *Let $T \equiv \infty$ and $\alpha \in (0;1)$. Then*

1. *$a(\alpha) = H(\alpha;\mu,\nu)$. $a(\alpha)$ is continuous on $(0;1)$ and $0 \le a(\alpha) \le 1$.*

2. *a)* $\mu \perp \nu \;\Leftrightarrow\; \exists\alpha \in (0;1) \,:\, a(\alpha) = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; a(\alpha) \equiv 0$
   $\Leftrightarrow\; \exists\alpha \in (0;1) \,:\, b(\alpha) = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; b(\alpha) \equiv 0.$

   b) $\mu \not\perp \nu \;\Leftrightarrow\; \exists\alpha \in (0;1) \,:\, a(\alpha) > 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; a(\alpha) > 0\,,\ \forall\alpha \in (0;1)$
   $\Leftrightarrow\; \exists\alpha \in (0;1) \,:\, b(\alpha) > 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; b(\alpha) > 0\,,\ \forall\alpha \in (0;1).$

   c) $\mu \ll \nu \;\Leftrightarrow\; a_n(\alpha) \to 1,$ *uniformly in n as $\alpha \uparrow 1$.*

d) $\mu \sim \nu \Leftrightarrow \{a_n(\alpha) \to 1,$ *uniformly in* n *as* $\alpha \uparrow 1\} \wedge \{a_n(\alpha) \to 1,$ *uniformly in* n *as* $\alpha \downarrow 0\}$.

3. The following equalities are true

$$\underline{\lim}_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ \alpha\to 1-0}} a_n(\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha\to 1-0} a(\alpha) = \|\mu_a\|,$$

where μ_a *is the absolutely continuous part of* μ *relative to* ν.

4. The density μ_a *relative to* ν *can be computed by the formula*

$$\frac{d\mu_a}{d\nu} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{d\mu_n}{d\nu_n}, \quad \nu\text{-}a.e.$$

For the proof of theorem 5 we need some propositions.

Lemma 1. *Let* p *be a Borel mapping from* $(X, \mathcal{B}_X)$ *to* $(Y, \mathcal{B}_Y)$ *,* μ *be a measure on* $(X, \mathcal{B}_X)$ *and* α *be a part of* $p(\mu)$*. Then there exists the part* μ^1 *of* μ *such that* $p(\mu^1) = \alpha$ *(and* $p(\mu - \mu^1) \perp \alpha$*).*

Proof. Set $J = \{\gamma,$ where γ is a part of μ such that $p(\gamma) \perp \alpha\}$. If $J = \emptyset$, then $\mu^1 = \mu$ and $p(\mu^1) = \alpha$. If $J \neq \emptyset$, then each chain in J is bounded. By the Zorn lemma there exists a maximal element that we denote by μ^2. Evidently this element is unique. Set $\mu^1 = \mu - \mu^2$. It is clear that μ^1 is the desired part of μ. $\square$

Lemma 2. *Let positive measures* $\mu, \nu,$ μ_0 *and* ν_0 *be such that* $\mu \sim \nu$ *and* $\mu + \mu_0 \sim \nu + \nu_0$*. Then for every* $\alpha \in (0; 1)$ *the following inequality is true*

$$\left| \int_X \left(\frac{d(\mu+\mu_0)}{d(\nu+\nu_0)}\right)^\alpha d(\nu+\nu_0) - \int_X \left(\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}\right)^\alpha d\nu \right| \leq$$
$$2\|\mu\|^\alpha \cdot \|\nu_0\|^{1-\alpha} + 2\|\mu_0\|^\alpha \cdot \|\nu\|^{1-\alpha} + 4\|\mu_0\|^\alpha \cdot \|\nu_0\|^{1-\alpha}.$$

Proof. We represent μ_0 and ν_0 in the form

$$\mu_0 = \mu_1 + \mu_2, \text{ with } \mu_1 \ll \mu, \mu_2 \perp \mu,$$

$$\nu_0 = \nu_1 + \nu_2, \text{ with } \nu_1 \ll \nu, \nu_2 \sim \mu_2.$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{d(\mu+\mu_0)}{d(\nu+\nu_0)}\right)^\alpha (x) = \left(\frac{d(\mu+\mu_1)}{d(\nu+\nu_1)}\right)^\alpha (x) + \left(\frac{d\mu_2}{d\nu_2}\right)^\alpha (x), (\mu+\mu_0) - \text{a.e.}$$

Using the inequality $1 \le (1+x)^a \le 1+ax$, (which is true for $x \ge 0$ and $a \in [0;1]$); the Hölder inequality and the fact that $\frac{d\gamma_1}{d(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)} \le 1, (\gamma_1+\gamma_2)$-a.e., we receive:

$$|\int \left(\frac{d(\mu+\mu_0)}{d(\nu+\nu_0)}\right)^{\alpha} d(\nu+\nu_0) - \int \left(\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}\right)^{\alpha} d\nu| \le$$

$$|\int \left(\frac{d(\mu+\mu_1)}{d(\nu+\nu_1)}\right)^{\alpha} d\nu - \int \left(\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}\right)^{\alpha} d\nu| + \int \left(\frac{d(\mu+\mu_1)}{d(\nu+\nu_1)}\right)^{\alpha} d\nu_1 + \int \left(\frac{d\mu_2}{d\nu_2}\right)^{\alpha} d\nu_2. \tag{15}$$

Let us consider each term separately. For 3 and 2 respectively we have:

$$\int \left(\frac{d\mu_2}{d\nu_2}\right)^{\alpha} d\nu_2 \le \left(\int \frac{d\mu_2}{d\nu_2} d\nu_2\right)^{\alpha} \cdot \left(\int d\nu_2\right)^{1-\alpha} = \|\mu_2\|^{\alpha} \cdot \|\nu_2\|^{1-\alpha} \le \|\mu_0\|^{\alpha} \cdot \|\nu_0\|^{1-\alpha}, \tag{16}$$

$$\int \left(\frac{d(\mu+\mu_1)}{d(\nu+\nu_1)}\right)^{\alpha} d\nu_1 = \int \left(\frac{d(\mu+\mu_1)}{d\nu_1}\right)^{\alpha} \cdot \left(\frac{d\nu_1}{d(\nu+\nu_1)}\right)^{\alpha} d\nu_1 \le \int \left(\frac{d(\mu+\mu_1)}{d\nu_1}\right)^{\alpha} d\nu_1 \le$$

$$\|\mu+\mu_1\|^{\alpha} \cdot \|\nu_1\|^{1-\alpha} \le (\|\mu\|^{\alpha} + \|\mu_1\|^{\alpha}) \cdot \|\nu_1\|^{1-\alpha} \le \|\mu\|^{\alpha} \cdot \|\nu_0\|^{1-\alpha} + \|\mu_0\|^{\alpha} \cdot \|\nu_0\|^{1-\alpha} \tag{17}$$

- here we used the inequality $(x+y)^{\alpha} \le x^{\alpha} + y^{\alpha}$, which is true for $x+y > 0, xy \ge 0$, and the fact that $\|\mu+\mu_1\| = \mu(X) + \mu_1(X)$. For the first term in (15), which we denote by I_1, we have

$$I_1 \le |\int \left[\left(\frac{d(\mu+\mu_1)}{d(\nu+\nu_1)}\right)^{\alpha} - \left(\frac{d\mu}{d(\nu+\nu_1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right] d\nu| + |\int \left[\left(\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}\right)^{\alpha} - \left(\frac{d\mu}{d(\nu+\nu_1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right] d\nu|. \tag{18}$$

For simplicity, set $\gamma = \nu + \nu_1$. Since $\gamma \sim \mu \sim \mu + \mu_1 \sim \nu$, for the first term in (18) we have

$$\int \left[\left(\frac{d(\mu+\mu_1)}{d(\nu+\nu_1)}\right)^{\alpha} - \left(\frac{d\mu}{d(\nu+\nu_1)}\right)^{\alpha}\right] \frac{d\nu}{d(\nu+\nu_1)} \cdot d(\nu+\nu_1) \le \int \left[\left(\frac{d(\mu+\mu_1)}{d\gamma}\right)^{\alpha} - \left(\frac{d\mu}{d\gamma}\right)^{\alpha}\right] d\gamma =$$

$$|\int \left(\frac{d\gamma}{d(\mu+\mu_1)}\right)^{1-\alpha} d(\mu+\mu_1) - \int \left(\frac{d\gamma}{d(\mu+\mu_1)}\right)^{1-\alpha} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{d\mu_1}{d\mu}\right)^{1-\alpha} d\mu| \le$$

$$(1-\alpha) \int \left(\frac{d\gamma}{d(\mu+\mu_1)}\right)^{1-\alpha} \cdot \frac{d\mu_1}{d\mu} d\mu + \int \left(\frac{d\gamma}{d(\mu+\mu_1)}\right)^{1-\alpha} d\mu_1 = (2-\alpha) \int \left(\frac{d\gamma}{d(\mu+\mu_1)}\right)^{1-\alpha} d\mu_1 \le$$

$$(2-\alpha) \int \left(\frac{d\gamma}{d\mu_1}\right)^{1-\alpha} d\mu_1 \le (2-\alpha)\|\mu_1\|^{\alpha} \cdot \|\gamma\|^{1-\alpha} \le 2\|\mu_1\|^{\alpha} \cdot (\|\nu\|^{1-\alpha} + \|\nu_1\|^{1-\alpha}) \le$$

$$2\|\mu_0\|^{\alpha} \cdot \|\nu\|^{1-\alpha} + 2\|\mu_0\|^{\alpha} \cdot \|\nu_0\|^{1-\alpha}. \tag{19}$$

for the second term in (18) we have

$$|\int \left[\left(\frac{d\mu}{d\gamma}\right)^{\alpha} \cdot \left(\frac{d\gamma}{d\nu}\right)^{\alpha} - \left(\frac{d\mu}{d\gamma}\right)^{\alpha}\right] d\nu| \le \int \left(\frac{d\mu}{d\gamma}\right)^{\alpha} \cdot \left(\left(1 + \frac{d\nu_1}{d\nu}\right)^{\alpha} - 1\right) d\nu \le$$

$$\le \alpha \int \left(\frac{d\mu}{d\gamma}\right)^{\alpha} \cdot \frac{d\nu_1}{d\nu} d\nu \le \alpha \int \left(\frac{d\mu}{d\nu_1}\right)^{\alpha} d\nu_1 \le \|\mu\|^{\alpha} \cdot \|\nu_1\|^{1-\alpha} \le \|\mu\|^{\alpha} \cdot \|\nu_0\|^{1-\alpha}. \tag{20}$$

From inequalities (15) - (20) the desired follows. □

The proof of the following lemma is trivial.

Lemma 3. *Let* $f(x) \geq 0$ *and* $f(x) \in L^1(\mu)$. *Then the function* $g(\alpha) = \int_X f^\alpha(x) d\mu$ *is continuous on the segment* $[0;1]$ *and* $0 \leq g(\alpha) \leq \|f\|^\alpha_{L^1}$.

The following proposition has some independent interest.

Proposition 2. *Let* $0 \leq f_n(x) \to f(x)$ *and* $\sup_n \int f_n d\mu < \infty$. *Then*

I. *The following chain of relations is true*

$$\underline{\lim}_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ \alpha\to 1-0}} \int f_n^\alpha(x) d\mu = \int f(x) d\mu \leq \underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \int f_n(x) d\mu \leq$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \int f_n(x) d\mu = \overline{\lim}_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ \alpha\to 1-0}} \int f_n^\alpha(x) d\mu.$$

II. *The following statements are equivalent*

1. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int f_n(x) d\mu = \int f(x) d\mu = d$.

2. $\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ \alpha\to 1-0}} \int f_n^\alpha(x) d\mu = d$.

Let $d_n = \int f_n(x) d\mu \neq 0$ *and* $f(x) \neq 0$ μ*-a.e. Then 1 and 2 are equivalent to the following*

3. *a)* $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n = d \neq 0$;

b) $\frac{1}{d_n^\alpha} \int f_n^\alpha(x) d\mu \to 1$ *uniformly in* n *as* $\alpha \uparrow 1$.

Proof. We prove the first inequality in I. For simplicity, set $A = \int f(x) d\mu$ and $B = \underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \int f_n(x) d\mu$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By lemma 3 we can choose α_0 such that

$$|\int f^\alpha(x) d\mu - \int f(x) d\mu| < \epsilon/2 \ , \ \alpha \in (\alpha_0; 1).$$

For a fixed $\alpha_1 \in (\alpha_0; 1)$, we choose n_1 such that $|\int f_{n_1}^{\alpha_1}(x) d\mu - \int f^{\alpha_1}(x) d\mu| < \epsilon/2$. Then $|\int f_{n_1}^{\alpha_1}(x) d\mu - \int f(x) d\mu| < \epsilon$. Hence $A \geq B$.

Conversely. Let $n_k \to \infty, \alpha_k \to 1-0$ and $\int f_{n_k}^{\alpha_k}(x) d\mu \to B$. Then, by the Lyapunov inequality, $\left[\int f_{n_{k+i}}^{\alpha_k}(x) d\mu\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} \leq \left[\int f_{n_{k+i}}^{\alpha_{k+i}}(x) d\mu\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{k+i}}}$. Letting $i \to \infty$, we have: $\left[\int f^{\alpha_k}(x) d\mu\right]^{1/\alpha_k} \leq B$. Letting $k \to \infty$, by lemma 3 , we receive $A \leq B$.

The first inequality follows from the Fatou lemma. The second inequality is evident. We prove the last equality in I. For simplicity, we denote the first limit by C and the second limit by D. Evidently that $C \leq D$. Now we prove the inverse inequality.

Let $n_k \to \infty$ and $\alpha_k \to 1-0$ be such that $\int f_{n_k}^{\alpha_k}(x)d\mu \to D$, as $k \to \infty$. Then, by the Lyapunov inequality,we have

$$\left[\int f_{n_k}^{\alpha_k}(x)d\mu\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}} \leq \int f_{n_k}(x)d\mu.$$

Passing to the upper limit in $k \to \infty$, we have: $D \leq \overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \int f_{n_k}(x)d\mu \leq C$.

Now we prove II. The equivalence of 1 and 2 follows from I.

2. $\Rightarrow$ 3. Since 1 follows from 2, then the limit in $a)$ exists and $d \neq 0$. Hence there exists the limit $\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ \alpha\to 1-0}} d_n^\alpha = d \neq 0$. Therefore there exists the limit of the fraction and

$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ \alpha\to 1-0}} \frac{1}{d_n^\alpha} \int f_n^\alpha(x)d\mu = 1.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose N and α_1 such that $|\frac{1}{d_n^\alpha} \int f_n^\alpha(x)d\mu - 1| < \epsilon$, $\forall n > N$, $\forall \alpha \in (\alpha_1; 1)$.

By lemma 3, we can choose $\alpha_0 > \alpha_1$ such that $|\frac{1}{d_n^\alpha} \int f_n^\alpha(x)d\mu - 1| < \epsilon$, $\forall n = 1, \ldots, N$. The last two inequalities prove the item $b)$.

3. $\Rightarrow$ 2. By item $a)$, we have $\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ \alpha\to 1-0}} d_n^\alpha = d$. Hence, by item $b)$, the limit of their product exists and

$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ \alpha\to 1-0}} \int f_n^\alpha(x)d\mu = d.\Box$$

Lemma 4. *The following assertions are true*

1) $\mu \overset{as}{\perp} \nu \Leftrightarrow \lim_{t\to\infty} \|\mu_t^1\| = 0$ *, where* μ_t^1 *is the absolutely continuous part of* μ_t *relative to* ν_t.

2) Let $\alpha_0 > 0$*, and* $\tilde{\mu}$ *is the absolutely continuous part of* μ *relative to* ν*. Then* $\lim_{t\to\infty} \left[\int \left(\frac{d\mu_t}{d\nu_t}\right)^\alpha d\nu_t - \int \left(\frac{d\tilde{\mu}_t}{d\nu_t}\right)^\alpha d\nu_t\right] = 0$ *uniformly on* $[\alpha_0; 1]$.

Proof. We shall prove the lemma for discrete time only.

1) The sufficiency is evident. We prove the necessity. It is clear that the sequence $\|\mu_n^1\|$ is not increase. Set

$$d \equiv \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mu_n^1\| = \inf \|\mu_n^1\|.$$

By lemma 1, there exists the part μ_n^0 of μ such that $\mu_n^0|_{\mathcal{B}_n} = \mu_n^1$. Then μ_{n+1}^0 is a part of μ_n^0 and $\|\mu_n^0\| = \|\mu_n^1\|$. Hence there exists the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mu_n^0\| = \inf \|\mu_n^1\| = d.$$

We must prove that $d = 0$. Let us assume the contrary and $d > 0$. Set

$$\tilde{\mu} = \mu_k^0 - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (\mu_n^0 - \mu_{n+1}^0), \ \ \forall k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Then $\tilde{\mu}$ is a nonzero part of μ such that

$$\|\tilde{\mu}\| = \|\mu_k^0\| - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (\|\mu_n^0\| - \|\mu_{n+1}^0\|) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mu_n^0\| = d > 0.$$

Since $\tilde{\mu}_n = \tilde{\mu}|_{\mathcal{B}_n} \ll \mu_n^0|_{\mathcal{B}_n} = \mu_n^1 \sim \nu_n^1 \ll \nu_n$, then $\tilde{\mu} \overset{loc}{\ll} \nu$. It is a contradiction.

2) Set $\tilde{I}_n(\alpha) = \int \left(\frac{d\tilde{\mu}_n}{d\nu_n}\right)^\alpha d\nu_n$.

We can represent the measures μ_n^1 and ν_n^1 in the form:

$$\mu_n^1 = \tilde{\mu}_n + \tilde{\mu}_n^1 + \tilde{\mu}_n^2, \text{ with } \tilde{\mu}_n^1 \ll \tilde{\mu}_n, \tilde{\mu}_n^2 \perp \tilde{\mu}_n,$$

$$\nu_n^1 = \tilde{\nu}_n^1 + \tilde{\nu}_n^2, \text{ with } \tilde{\nu}_n^1 \sim \tilde{\mu}_n, \tilde{\nu}_n^2 \sim \tilde{\mu}_n^2.$$

Then $\tilde{\mu}_n^1 + \tilde{\mu}_n^2 = \mu_n^1 - \tilde{\mu}_n = (\mu_n^0 - \tilde{\mu})|_{\mathcal{B}_n}$. Therefore

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\tilde{\mu}_n^1 + \tilde{\mu}_n^2\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mu_n^0 - \tilde{\mu}\| = 0. \tag{21}$$

By lemma 2 and the Hölder inequality, the following evaluation is true

$$|I_n(\alpha) - \tilde{I}_n(\alpha)| = |\int \left(\frac{d\mu_n}{d\nu_n}\right)^\alpha d\nu_n - \int \left(\frac{d\tilde{\mu}_n}{d\nu_n}\right)^\alpha d\nu_n| \le |\int \left(\frac{d(\tilde{\mu}_n + \tilde{\mu}_n^1)}{d\tilde{\nu}_n^1}\right)^\alpha d\tilde{\nu}_n^1 -$$

$$\int \left(\frac{d\tilde{\mu}_n}{d\tilde{\nu}_n^1}\right)^\alpha d\tilde{\nu}_n^1| + \int \left(\frac{d\tilde{\mu}_n^2}{d\tilde{\nu}_n^2}\right)^\alpha d\tilde{\nu}_n^2 \le 2\|\tilde{\mu}_n^1\|^\alpha \cdot \|\tilde{\nu}_n^1\|^{1-\alpha} + \|\tilde{\mu}_n^2\|^\alpha \cdot \|\tilde{\nu}_n^2\|^{1-\alpha} \le 3\|\tilde{\mu}_n^1 + \tilde{\mu}_n^2\|^\alpha \cdot \|\nu\|^{1-\alpha}.$$

From this inequality and (21), we receive the desired. The lemma is proved. □

The proof of theorem 5 we separate onto nine steps. Since in the first five steps α is fixed, we omit it.

I. By the Hölder inequality we have

$$0 \le b \le a_n = \int \left(\frac{d\mu_n^1}{d\nu_n^1}\right)^\alpha d\nu_n^1 \le \|\mu_n^1\|^\alpha \cdot \|\nu_n^1\|^{1-\alpha}. \tag{22}$$

II. *Let us prove the follows: if* $b > 0$ *then* $\tilde{\mu} \not\perp \nu$*, the limit* a *exists and* $a > 0$*.*

Set $f_n(x) = \frac{d\tilde{\mu}_n}{d\nu_n}(x)$. Then $\{f_n(x)\}$ forms a nonnegative martingale on $(X, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$. By the Doob theorem, there exist a limit $f(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x)$, ν-a.e. . Hence

$$f^\alpha(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n^\alpha(x), \quad \nu - \text{ a.e.} \tag{23}$$

Since $1/\alpha > 1$ and $\|f_n^\alpha(x)\|_{1/\alpha} = \|\tilde{\mu}\|$, then the family of functions $f_n^\alpha(x)$ is uniformly integrable. Therefore we can integrate equality (23) and take the limit outside the integral:

$$\int f^\alpha(x)d\nu(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int f_n^\alpha(x)d\nu(x).$$

Hence, taking into account lemma 4, the limit a exists. Since $b > 0$ then from (22) follows that $a > 0$. Therefore $f(x) \neq 0$ on a set of positive measure. Hence $\tilde{\mu} \not\perp \nu$ [10],ch.VII,§6(1).

III. *Let us prove the follows:* $b > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu \not\perp \nu$.

The necessity was proved in item II. Let us prove the sufficiency. Let $\mu \not\perp \nu$ and

$$\mu = \tilde{\mu} + \mu_0, \nu = \tilde{\nu} + \nu_0, \text{ where } \tilde{\mu} \sim \tilde{\nu} \neq 0, \mu_0 \perp \nu, \nu_0 \perp \mu,$$

is the Lebesque decomposition. Since $\tilde{\mu}_n \sim \tilde{\nu}_n \neq 0$, then

$$\mu_n = \tilde{\mu}_n + \mu_{0,n} \not\perp \tilde{\nu}_n + \nu_{0,n} = \nu_n,$$

$$a_n = \int\left(\frac{d\mu_n}{d\nu_n}\right)^\alpha d\nu_n = \int\left(\frac{d(\tilde{\mu}_n + \mu_{0,n})}{d\nu_n}\right)^\alpha d\nu_n \geq \int\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mu}_n}{d\nu_n}\right)^\alpha d\nu_n > 0. \tag{24}$$

Since the family of the functions $f_n(x)$ is a martingale and $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x) = \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\nu} > 0, \tilde{\nu}$-a.e. [10],ch.VII,§6(1), then the family of the functions $f_n^\alpha(x)$ is uniformly integrable. Hence there exists a nonzero limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mu}_n}{d\nu_n}\right)^\alpha d\nu_n = \int\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\nu}\right)^\alpha d\nu = A > 0.$$

From this and (24), it follows that $b > 0$.

IV. *Let us prove the follows:* $b > 0 \Leftrightarrow$ *(i)a is well defined; (ii)$a > 0$.*

The necessity was proved in item II. For the proof it is enough to note that: if $a_N = 0$ for some N, then $a_n = 0$ for all $n \geq N$.

V. *Let us prove that: if $b = 0$, then a is well defined and equal to zero.*

Taking into account the remark in item IV, we shall assume that $a_n > 0, \forall n \in \mathbf{N}$. With the notation in lemma 4, if $d = 0$, then from (22), it follows that $a = 0$. If $d > 0$, then $\tilde{\mu} \neq 0$. Taking into account item 2 of lemma 4, a is well defined (analogously as in item II). Since $b = 0$, then $a = 0$.

Items I-V prove items $2(a)$ and $2(b)$ of the theorem.

VI. According to lemma 4, we have

$$a(\alpha) = \lim_{n\to\infty} a_n(\alpha) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\tilde{I}_n(\alpha) = \int f^\alpha(x)d\nu, \quad \forall\alpha \in (0;1),$$

where $f(x)$ is the density of the absolutely continuous part μ_a of μ relative to ν [10],ch.VII,§6(1). Hence, by lemma 3, $a(\alpha)$ is continuous on $(0;1)$ and

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 1-0} a(\alpha) = \|\mu_a\|.$$

Item 1 and the second equality of item 3 are proved.

VII. Let us prove item $2(c)$. Let $\mu \ll \nu$. Then [10],ch.VII,§6(1),

$$f_n(x) = \frac{d\mu_n}{d\nu_n} \to f(x) = \frac{d\mu}{d\nu}.$$

Therefore, by proposition 2 ,II,3(b), $a_n(\alpha) \to 1$ uniformly in n as $\alpha \uparrow 1$.

Conversely. Since $a_n(\alpha) \to 1$ uniformly as $\alpha \uparrow 1$, hence $a_n(1) = \int f_n d\nu_n = 1$. Therefore $\mu \overset{loc}{\ll} \nu$ and $f_n \to f$. By proposition 2,II, we have $\int f(x) d\nu = 1$, i.e. $\mu \ll \nu$.

Item $2(d)$ is an obvious corollary of item $2(c)$.

VIII. Let us prove the first equality of item 3. Denote by A the lower limit. Since

$$\|\mu_a\| = \lim_{\alpha\to 1-0} \lim_{n\to\infty} a_n(\alpha) \geq A,$$

it is enough to prove that $\|\mu_a\| \leq A$.

Let $n_k \to \infty$ and $\alpha_k \uparrow 1$ be such that $a_{n_k}(\alpha_k) \to A$. Choose k_0 such that

$$a_{n_k}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}}(\alpha_k) < A + \epsilon/2 \text{ if } k > k_0.$$

Then, by the Lyapunov inequality, we have

$$a_{n_{k+i}}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}}(\alpha_k) \leq a_{n_{k+i}}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{k+i}}}(\alpha_{k+i}) < A + \epsilon/2.$$

Passing to infinity first in i and then in k, we obtain

$$\|\mu_a\| = \lim_{\alpha\to 1-0} a^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\alpha) \leq A + \epsilon/2.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, then $\|\mu_a\| \leq A$.

IX. Let us prove the last item of the theorem using the notations from lemma 4 and from item II of this proof. Denote by

$$f_n = \frac{d\tilde{\mu}_n}{d\nu_n}, g_n = \frac{d(\mu_n^1 - \tilde{\mu}_n)}{d\nu_n}, \text{ then } \frac{d\mu_n}{d\nu_n} = f_n + g_n.$$

If we shall prove that $g_n \to 0, \nu$-a.e., then, taking into account that $\tilde{\mu} \overset{loc}{\ll} \nu$, the desired will follow from [10]3,ch.VII,§6(1). Since

$$\mu_n^1 - \tilde{\mu}_n = (\mu_n^0 - \tilde{\mu})|_{\mathcal{B}_n} = (\mu_n^0 - \mu_{n+1}^0)|_{\mathcal{B}_n} + (\mu_{n+1}^1 - \tilde{\mu}_{n+1})|_{\mathcal{B}_n},$$

$$\text{then:}\qquad \frac{d(\mu_n^1-\tilde{\mu}_n)}{d\nu_n}=\frac{d(\mu_n^0-\mu_{n+1}^0)|_{\mathcal{B}_n}}{d\nu_n}+\mathbf{E}\left[\frac{d(\mu_{n+1}^1-\tilde{\mu}_{n+1})}{d\nu_{n+1}}\middle|\mathcal{B}_n\right].$$

Hence g_n form a supermartingale. Therefore $g_n \to g \geq 0$. By equality (21) and proposition 2, we have

$$\int g d\nu \leq \lim_{n\to\infty}\int g_n d\nu=\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\mu_n^0-\tilde{\mu}\|=0.$$

Hence $g=0$ and theorem 3 is proved. □

Now we give a simple proof of the Kakutani alternative [8] (we formulate a more strong result).

Theorem *Let μ_n and ν_n be probability measures on spaces X_n and $\mu_n \ll \nu_n$. Set μ and ν are their direct products on the direct products X of the spaces X_n. Then: if the follows product*

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_{X_n}\sqrt{\frac{d\mu_n}{d\nu_n}}d\nu_n$$

converges then $\mu \ll \nu$, otherwise $\mu \perp \nu$.

Proof. Set

$$b_n(\alpha)=\int_{X_n}\left(\frac{d\mu_n}{d\nu_n}\right)^{\alpha}d\nu_n\,,\quad \varphi_n(\alpha)=[b_n(\alpha)]^{1/\alpha}.$$

Then

$$a_n(\alpha)=\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n}\varphi_n(\alpha)\right]^{\alpha},\quad a(\alpha)=\left[\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\varphi_n(\alpha)\right]^{\alpha}.$$

By lemma 3 and the Lyapunov inequality, $\varphi_n(\alpha)$ is a nondecreasing function on $[0,5;1]$ and $\varphi_n(1)=1$. Given product is equal to $a(0,5)$. Therefore:

1) If the product converges, then $a^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\alpha)=[\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\varphi_n(\alpha)]$ is a nondecreasing function on [0,5;1]. Hence $a(\alpha)$ is continuous and $a(1)=1$. By item 3 of theorem 5, we have $\mu \ll \nu$.

2) If the product diverges then $a(0,5)=0$. By item $2(a)$ of theorem 5, we have $\mu \perp \nu$. □

2) Computation of $\mathbf{E}[z_T|\mathcal{F}_{T-}]$. In the follows theorem we give a method of calculation of $\mathbf{E}[z_T|\mathcal{F}_{T-}]$ if we know only z_0 and the process z^{T-} interrupted at the moment T . The proof of this theorem is based on an approximation of the stopping time T from below.

Theorem 6. *Let $\mu \ll \mathrm{P}$ and z be the density process. If $0<T(\omega)<\infty$ then for all n we choose $k_n>0$ such that $T(\omega)\in\left(\frac{k_n-1}{2^n};\frac{k_n}{2^n}\right]$. Then P-a.e.*

$$\mathbf{E}[z_T|\mathcal{F}_{T-}]=\begin{cases} z_T,\ \omega\in\{T=0\}\cup\{T=\infty\},\\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\dfrac{\mathbf{E}\left[z_{\frac{k_n}{2^n}}1_{\left\{\frac{k_n-1}{2^n}<T\leq\frac{k_n}{2^n}\right\}}\middle|\mathcal{F}_{\frac{k_n-1}{2^n}}\right]}{\mathbf{E}\left[\left\{\frac{k_n-1}{2^n}<T\leq\frac{k_n}{2^n}\right\}\middle|\mathcal{F}_{\frac{k_n-1}{2^n}}\right]},\ \omega\in\{0<T<\infty\}.\end{cases}\tag{25}$$

Let us denote by $K_T(\omega)$ a $\mathcal{F}_{T-}$-measurable function

$$K_T(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, \ \omega \in B := \{T = 0\} \cup \{T = \infty\} \cup \{z_{T-} = 0\}, \\ \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}\left[z_{\frac{k_n}{2^n}} 1_{\left\{\frac{k_n - 1}{2^n} < T \le \frac{k_n}{2^n}\right\}} \Big| \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k_n-1}{2^n}}\right]}{z_{\frac{k_n-1}{2^n}} \cdot \mathbf{E}\left[\left\{\frac{k_n-1}{2^n} < T \le \frac{k_n}{2^n}\right\} \Big| \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k_n-1}{2^n}}\right]}, \ \omega \in \Omega \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

Then P*-a.e. the following equality is true*

$$\mathbf{E}[z_T | \mathcal{F}_{T-}] = z_{T-} \cdot K_T(\omega). \tag{26}$$

Proof. We consider the following sets

$$A_0^n = \{0 = T\} \ , \quad A_k^n = \{\frac{k-1}{2^n} < T\} \ , \ k = 1, \ldots, n2^n + 1.$$

It is clear that if $k > 0$ then $A_k^n \in \mathcal{F}_{T-}$ and $A_k^n \supset A_{k+1}^n$. Set

$$B_0^n = A_0^n = \{0 = T\} \ , \quad B_{n2^n+1}^n = A_{n2^n+1}^n = \{n < T\},$$

$$B_k^n = A_k^n \setminus A_{k+1}^n = \{\frac{k-1}{2^n} < T \le \frac{k}{2^n}\} \ , k = 1, \ldots, n2^n.$$

Then B_k^n form a finite partition of Ω. The proof of the following lemma is trivial.

Lemma 5. *Let us denote by $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{F}_0$, and set $\mathcal{G}_n$ is the σ-algebra generated by the families of sets $\mathcal{F}_0$, $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}} \cap A_k^n$, $k = 1, \ldots, n2^n + 1$. Then*

1. *Every set $E \in \mathcal{G}_n$ can be uniquely represented in the form of disjoint union*

$$E = E_0 \sqcup E_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup E_{n2^n+1}, \tag{27}$$

where $E_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap B_0^n$, $E_k \in \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}} \cap B_k^n$, $k = 1, \ldots, n2^n + 1$.

2. *$\mathcal{F}_{T-} = \vee_n \mathcal{G}_n$.* $\square$

The restrictions of the measures μ and P on $\mathcal{G}_n$ we denote by μ'_n and $\mathrm{P'}_n$ respectively. By decomposition (27) we have

$$\mu'_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n2^n+1} \mu|_{B_k^n \cap \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}}}, \qquad \mathrm{P'}_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n2^n+1} \mathrm{P}|_{B_k^n \cap \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}}}. \tag{28}$$

Now we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6. *Let μ and ν be measures on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ such that $\mu \ll \nu$. Let $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and let $\mathcal{G}$ be a σ-subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}$. Set μ' and ν' are the restrictions of the measures $\mu|_{\Omega\setminus A}$ and $\nu|_{\Omega\setminus A}$ to the σ-algebra $\mathcal{G} \cap (\Omega \setminus A)$. Then $\mu' \ll \nu'$ and*

$$\frac{d\mu'}{d\nu'} = \frac{\mathbf{E}_\nu[z|\mathcal{G}] - \mathbf{E}_\nu[z \cdot 1_A|\mathcal{G}]}{\mathbf{E}_\nu[1|\mathcal{G}] - \mathbf{E}_\nu[1_A|\mathcal{G}]}\bigg|_{\Omega\setminus A} = \frac{\mathbf{E}_\nu[z \cdot 1_{\Omega\setminus A}|\mathcal{G}]}{\mathbf{E}_\nu[\Omega \setminus A|\mathcal{G}]}\bigg|_{\Omega\setminus A}, \ \textit{where } z = \frac{d\mu}{d\nu}.$$

Proof. The proof we separate on two parts.

I. Set: $P(E) = \mu(E \setminus A), Q(E) = \nu(E \setminus A), \tilde{\nu}(E) = \nu(E), E \in \mathcal{G}$ are the measures on $(\Omega, \mathcal{G})$. Then $P \ll Q \ll \tilde{\nu}$ and

$$\frac{dP}{dQ}(\omega) = \frac{\mathbf{E}_\nu[z|\mathcal{G}] - \mathbf{E}_\nu[z \cdot 1_A|\mathcal{G}]}{\mathbf{E}_\nu[1|\mathcal{G}] - \mathbf{E}_\nu[1_A|\mathcal{G}]}(\omega) \quad \tilde{\nu} - \text{a.e.} \tag{29}$$

Really. If $E \in \mathcal{G}$, then

$$P(E) = \mu(E) - \mu(E \cap A) = \int_E \mathbf{E}_\nu[z|\mathcal{G}]d\tilde{\nu} - \int_E z \cdot 1_A d\nu =$$

$$\int_E \mathbf{E}_\nu[z|\mathcal{G}]d\tilde{\nu} - \int_E \mathbf{E}_\nu[z \cdot 1_A|\mathcal{G}]d\tilde{\nu} = \int_E \mathbf{E}_\nu[z|\mathcal{G}] - \mathbf{E}_\nu[z \cdot 1_A|\mathcal{G}]d\tilde{\nu}.$$

Analogous calculation for Q gives us: $Q(E) = \int_E \mathbf{E}_\nu[1|\mathcal{G}] - \mathbf{E}_\nu[1_A|\mathcal{G}]d\tilde{\nu}$. By the lemma from ch.II, §7, (8) [10], we have equality (29).

II. It remains to prove that

$$\frac{d\mu'}{d\nu'} = \frac{dP}{dQ}\bigg|_{\Omega\setminus A}. \tag{30}$$

If we put $X_1 = X_2 = \Omega \setminus A, \mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F} \cap X_1, \mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{G} \cap X_2$, $Y_1 = Y_2 = \Omega, \mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{G}$, then (30) follows from the next result:

Let in the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(Y_1, \mathcal{G}_1) \arrow[r, "i_2"] & (Y_2, \mathcal{G}_2) \\
(X_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \arrow[u, "\pi_1"] \arrow[r, "i_1"] & (X_2, \mathcal{F}_2) \arrow[u, "\pi_2"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

$X_2 \subset Y_2, \mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{G}_2 \cap X_2$ and π_2 be an embedding. Then for all measures $\mu, \nu, \mu \ll \nu$, on $(X_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ the following equality is true

$$\frac{di_1(\mu)}{di_1(\nu)} = \frac{d(i_2 \circ \pi_1)(\mu)}{d(i_2 \circ \pi_1)(\nu)}\bigg|_{X_2}. \tag{31}$$

Really. Let $E \in \mathcal{F}_2$ and $E' \in \mathcal{G}_2$ be such that $E' \cap X_2 = E$ (i.e. $\pi_2^{-1}(E') = E$). By the formula of change of variables [10],ch.II,§6(7), and the equality $(i_2 \circ \pi_1)(\nu) = (\pi_2 \circ i_1)(\nu)$, we have

$$i_1(\mu)(E) = (\pi_2 \circ i_1)(\mu)(E') = (i_2 \circ \pi_1)(\mu)(E') =$$
$$\int_{E'} \frac{d(i_2 \circ \pi_1)(\mu)}{d(i_2 \circ \pi_1)(\nu)} d(i_2 \circ \pi_1)(\nu) = \int_E \frac{d(i_2 \circ \pi_1)(\mu)}{d(i_2 \circ \pi_1)(\nu)} (\pi_2(x_2)) d i_1(\nu)$$

and (31) follows. The lemma is proved. □

Now we complete the proof. By lemma 6 and (28), we have

$$\frac{d\mu'_n}{d\mathrm{P}'_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n2^n} \frac{\mathbf{E}\left[z_{\frac{k}{2^n}} 1_{B^n_k} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}}\right]}{\mathbf{E}\left[B^n_k \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}}\right]} 1_{B^n_k} + z_0 1_{\{T=0\}} + z_n 1_{\{n<T\}}. \tag{32}$$

Since $\frac{d\mu'_n}{d\mathrm{P}'_n} \to \frac{d\mu_{T-}}{d\mathrm{P}_{T-}}$, P-a.e., then (25) follows from (32). The correctness of the definition of K_T and equality (26) follow from (25) evidently. The theorem is proved.□

Since every martingale of the class (D) we can represent in the form of difference of two nonnegative martingales of the class (D), then theorem 6 is true in the general case.

We shall formulate this theorem for the discrete case.

Theorem 7. *Let the time-set is* $\mathbb{N}$. *Then the following formula is true*

$$\mathbf{E}[z_T|\mathcal{F}_{T-}] = \begin{cases} z_T, & \omega \in \{T=0\} \cup \{T=\infty\}, \\ \dfrac{\mathbf{E}\left[z_n 1_{\{T=n\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right]}{\mathbf{E}\left[\{T=n\} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right]}, & \omega \in \{T=n\}. \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

Remark 2. In particular, if T is predictable and a sequence $\{V_n\}$ is an announcing sequence for T, then the equality $\mathbf{E}[z_T|\mathcal{F}_{T-}] = \lim z_{V_n} = z_{T-}$ follows from (25).

Remark 3. The inclusion $\{z_{T-} = 0\} \subset \left\{\frac{d\mu_{T-}}{d\mathrm{P}_{T-}} = 0\right\}$ (which is strict in the general case) follows from formula (26).

Remark 4. It is clear that we can represent z_{T-} on $\{T < \infty\}$ in the form

$$z_{T-} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}\left[z_{\frac{k_n}{2^n}} 1_{\left\{\frac{k_n-1}{2^n} < T \le \infty\right\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k_n-1}{2^n}}\right]}{\mathbf{E}\left[\left\{\frac{k_n-1}{2^n} < T \le \infty\right\} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k_n-1}{2^n}}\right]}. \tag{34}$$

If to compare this expression with (25) we can see essential distinctions. In (25) the set $\left\{\frac{k_n-1}{2^n} < T \le \frac{k_n}{2^n}\right\}$ tends to the "point" $\{T = T(\omega)\}$, but in (34) the set $\left\{\frac{k_n-1}{2^n} < T \le \infty\right\}$ tends to the "interval" $\{T(\omega) < T\}$. Hence, if the quotient $\mathrm{Q}(\{t < T\})/\mathrm{P}(\{t < T\})$, where

$\mathrm{Q} = z_\infty \mathrm{P}(=\mu)$, tends to ∞, as $t \to \infty$, then we can expect that z_{T-} is not integrable. We shall demonstrate this on example 44, ch. V, [4].

Let S be a finite function on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$. Set $\mathcal{F}_t^0$ (respectively $\mathcal{F}^0$) is the σ-algebra generated by $S \wedge t$, the set $\{S \le t\} \cap \mathcal{B}$ and the atom $\{S > t\}$ (respectively S and $\mathcal{B}$). If P is a probability measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^0)$, let us denote by $\mathcal{F}_t$ (respectively $\mathcal{F}$) the σ-algebra generated by the σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}_t^0$ (respectively $\mathcal{F}^0$) and P-null sets. Let Z be a nonnegative variable with $\mathbf{E}[Z] = 1$. Set $\mathrm{Q} = Z\mathrm{P}$ and let z be the density process. Let us compute z_{S-} and $\mathbf{E}[z_S|\mathcal{F}_{S-}]$. Let us denote by

$$F_{\mathrm{P}}(x) = \mathrm{P}(\{S \le x\}) \ \text{ and let } F_{\mathrm{Q}}(x) = \mathrm{Q}(\{S \le x\}) = \int Z 1_{\{S \le x\}} d\mathrm{P}$$

be the distribution function of S relative to P and Q. Since $\{t < S\}$ is an atom of $\mathcal{F}_t$, then

$$z_t 1_{\{t<S\}} = \frac{1 - F_{\mathrm{Q}}(t)}{1 - F_{\mathrm{P}}(t)} 1_{\{t<S\}} \ , \quad \mathbf{E}\left[1_{\{t+h<S\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] = \frac{1 - F_{\mathrm{P}}(t+h)}{1 - F_{\mathrm{P}}(t)} 1_{\{t<S\}},$$

$$\mathbf{E}\left[z_{t+h} 1_{\{t+h<S\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] = \frac{1 - F_{\mathrm{Q}}(t+h)}{1 - F_{\mathrm{P}}(t)} 1_{\{t<S\}}.$$

Therefore for $\omega \in \left\{\frac{k_n - 1}{2^n} < S \le \frac{k_n}{2^n}\right\}$ we have

$$z_{S-} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1 - F_{\mathrm{Q}}(\frac{k_n-1}{2^n})}{1 - F_{\mathrm{P}}(\frac{k_n-1}{2^n})} \ , \ \mathbf{E}[z_S|\mathcal{F}_{S-}] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{F_{\mathrm{Q}}(\frac{k_n}{2^n}) - F_{\mathrm{Q}}(\frac{k_n-1}{2^n})}{F_{\mathrm{P}}(\frac{k_n}{2^n}) - F_{\mathrm{P}}(\frac{k_n-1}{2^n})}.$$

In particular, let $\Omega = \mathbf{R}_+, \mathcal{B} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}, S(\omega) = \omega, d\mathrm{P} = e^{-\omega} d\omega$ and $Z = S^{-2} \cdot e^S \cdot 1_{\{S>1\}}$. Then

$$F_{\mathrm{P}}(x) = 1 - e^{-x} \ , \quad F_{\mathrm{Q}}(x) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right) 1_{\{1<x\}},$$

and simple computations give us

$$z_{S-} = e^\omega 1_{[0;1]} + \frac{1}{\omega} e^\omega 1_{(1;\infty)} \ , \quad \mathbf{E}[z_S|\mathcal{F}_{S-}] = Z.$$

Hence z_{S-} is not integrable.□

3) General case. In this section we will prove some general theorems.

Proof of theorem 3. Let us denote by u and u' the density processes of μ and ν relative to Q. By theorem 5 and formula (32), we get

$$\|(\mu_{T-})_a\| = \varliminf_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ \alpha\to 1-0}} \left\{ \int z_0^\alpha {z'}_0^{1-\alpha} 1_{\{T=0\}} d\mathrm{P}_0 + \int z_n^\alpha {z'}_n^{1-\alpha} 1_{\{n<T\}} d\mathrm{P}_n + \right.$$

$$\left. \sum_{k=1}^{n2^n} \int \frac{\left[\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{Q}}\left[u_{\frac{k}{2^n}} 1_{B_k^n} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}}\right]\right]^\alpha \left[\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{Q}}\left[u'_{\frac{k}{2^n}} 1_{B_k^n} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}}\right]\right]^{1-\alpha}}{\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{Q}}\left[B_k^n \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}}\right]} 1_{B_k^n} d{\mathrm{Q}'}_n \right\}. \tag{35}$$

It is enough to prove that the integrals under signs of sum in (5) and (35) are equal. Let Z be the density process of Q relative to P. Then $z = u \cdot Z, z' = u' \cdot Z$. Hence, by formula III.3.9, [5], we can assume that $\mathrm{P} = \mathrm{Q}$. Let us denote the integrand function in (5) and the integral (5) by f and I respectively. By g and J we denote the denominator of the integrand function and the integral in (35) respectively. Then (see the diagram in the proof of lemma 6)

$$J = \int_{B_k^n} \frac{f}{g}|_{B_k^n} d\mathrm{Q}'_n = \int \frac{f}{g}|_{B_k^n} d\mathrm{Q}_{\frac{k}{2^n}}|_{B_k^n} = \int \frac{f}{g} \cdot 1_{B_k^n} d\mathrm{Q}_{\frac{k}{2^n}} =$$

$$\int \mathbf{E}\left[\frac{f}{g} \cdot 1_{B_k^n} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}}\right] d\mathrm{Q}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}} = \int f d\mathrm{Q}_{\frac{k-1}{2^n}} = I.$$

The theorem is proved.□

Proof of theorem 4. Taking into account remark 2, theorem 4 is a simple corollary of theorem 7. □

Now we formulate theorem 5 when the time-set is $\mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 8. *Let measures μ, ν and* P *on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F} = (\mathcal{F}_n))$ be such that $\mu \overset{loc}{\ll} \mathrm{P}, \nu \overset{loc}{\ll} \mathrm{P}$. Set z and z' are the density processes of μ and ν relative to* P *respectively. Then for every stopping time T the following equality is true*

$$\|(\mu_{T-})_a\| = \varliminf_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ \alpha\to 1-0}} \left\{ \int z_0^\alpha {z'}_0^{1-\alpha} 1_{\{T=0\}} d\mathrm{P}_0 + \int z_n^\alpha {z'}_n^{1-\alpha} 1_{\{n<T\}} d\mathrm{P}_n + \right.$$

$$\left. \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int \left[\mathbf{E}\left[z_k 1_{\{T=k\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right]\right]^\alpha \left[\mathbf{E}\left[z'_k 1_{\{T=k\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right]\right]^{1-\alpha} d\mathrm{P}_{k-1} \right\},$$

where $(\mu_{T-})_a$ is the absolutely continuous part of μ_{T-} relative to ν_{T-}.

In the following corollary the conditions of mutual absolutely continuity and singularity of measures μ_T and ν_T are given in terms of the Hellinger integrals.

Corollary 1. *Let μ and ν be probability measures on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t))$. Let a nondecreasing sequence $\{V_n\}$ of stopping times be such that $\lim_n V_n = \infty$. Then for every stopping time T the following equalities are true*

$$\|(\mu_T)_a\| = \varliminf_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ \alpha\to 1-0}} H(\alpha;\ \mu_{T\wedge V_n},\ \nu_{T\wedge V_n}), \quad \frac{d(\mu_T)_a}{d\nu_T} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{d\mu_{T\wedge V_n}}{d\nu_{T\wedge V_n}},$$

where $(\mu_T)_a$ is the absolutely continuous part of μ_T relative to ν_T.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}_T = \bigvee_n \mathcal{F}_{T\wedge V_n}$ for every stopping time T. Set $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{F}_{T\wedge V_n}, \mathcal{G}_\infty = \mathcal{F}_T,\ \mu'_n = \mu_{T\wedge V_n}, \nu'_n = \nu_{T\wedge V_n}, \mu' = \mu_T, \nu = \nu_T$. Then the desired follows from theorem 5. □

For the discrete case and $V_n = n$ we have.

Corollary 2. *Let measures* μ, ν *and* P *on* $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F} = (\mathcal{F}_n))$ *be such that* $\mu \overset{loc}{\ll} \mathrm{P}, \nu \overset{loc}{\ll} \mathrm{P}$. *Then for every stopping time* T *the following equality is true*

$$\|(\mu_T)_a\| = \varliminf_{\substack{n\to\infty \\ \alpha\to 1-0}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\{T=k\}} Y_k(\alpha) d\mathrm{P}_k + \int_{\{n\le T\}} Y_n(\alpha) d\mathrm{P}_n \right],$$

where $(\mu_T)_a$ *is the absolutely continuous part of* μ_T *relative to* ν_T.

References

[1] Cherny A.S., Urusov M.A. Separating times for measures on filtered spaces. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 2003, **48**, N. 2, 416-427.

[2] Cherny A.S., Urusov M.A. On the absolute continuity and singularity of measures on filtered spaces: separating times. *From stochastic calculus to mathematical finance*, Springer Berlin, 2006, 125–168.

[3] Darwich A.R. About absolute continuity and orthogonality for two probability measures. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 2001, **52**, 1-8.

[4] Dellacherie C. (1972)*Capacités et processus stochastiques.* Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York.

[5] Jacod, J. and Shiryaev, A.N. (1987). *Limits Theorems for Stochastic Processes.* Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

[6] Kabanov Yu. M., Liptser R. Sh., Shiryaev A. N. On the question of absolute continuity and singularity of probability measures. *Math. USSR-Sb.*, 1977, **33**, N. 2, 203-221.

[7] Kabanov Yu. M., Liptser R. Sh., Shiryaev A. N. Absolute continuity and singularity of locally absolutely continuous distributions II. *Math. USSR-Sb.*, 1980, **36**, N. 1, 31-58.

[8] Kakutani S. On equivalence of infinite product measures. *Ann.Math.*, 1948, **49**, N. 1, 214-224.

[9] Schachermayer, W. and Schachinger, W. Is there a predictable criterion for mutual singularity of two probability measures on a filtered space? *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 1999, **44**, N. 1, 101-110.

[10] Shiryaev A. N. (1984)*Probability.* Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York.

Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev,
Beer-Sheva, P.O. 653, Israel
E-mail address: saak@math.bgu.ac.il